



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,025	01/20/2004	Jakob G. Nijboer	PHN 17,787A	1884
24737 7	590 11/16/2004		EXAM	INER
PHILIPS INT P.O. BOX 300	ELLECTUAL PROPE	HINDI, NABIL Z		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	10/761,025	NIJBOER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	NABIL Z HINDI	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep-  If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a regular within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTING CAUSE the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 ₪     2a) □ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This     3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obju drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No. <u>09/685,553</u> . ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
)	Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		

Art Unit: 2655

In response to applicant's filing dated Jan 20, 2004. the following action is taken:

The specification must be amended to include the continuation data.

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-17 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,740,263. This is a double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at telephone number (703) 308-1555.

NABIL HANDI PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2509

2005